G

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/506,661	HAWRYLKO ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry S. Hu	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with to (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in the or other appropriate communicularity. This application is sub	is application. If not included cation will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. 🛮 This communication is responsive to Amendment of Janua	ary 23, 2007.	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-20</u> .		•
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 		(f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in	this national stage application from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		reply complying with the requirements
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which giv 		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	st be submitted.	
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	- ,	PTO-948) attached
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s)	. 5	mal Detect Application
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	6. ☐ Interview Sum	mal Patent Application
	Paper No./Ma 7. ⊠ Examiner's Am	il Date
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),	7. 🛭 Examiner's Am	nendment/Comment
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Sta	atement of Reasons for Allowance
	9. Other	

Art Unit: 1713

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with John H. Hornickel (registration # 29,393, tel: 440-930-3317) on March 2, 2007 to amend Claims 1 and 11 as following:

Claim

Claim 1 at line 7 please insert the sentence of "has a purity of at least 95 weight percent and" to be in-between the word of "carbonate" and the word of "serves"

Claim 1 at line 7 please replace the word of "scavenger" with the phrase of "light stabilizer by scavenging"

Claim 11 at line 7 please insert the sentence of "has a purity of at least 95 weight percent and" to be in-between the word of "carbonate" and the word of "serves"

Application/Control Number: 10/506,661 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Claim 11 at line 8 please replace the word of "scavenger" with the phrase of "light"

stabilizer by scavenging"

DETAILED ACTION

2. This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed on January 23, 2007. With such

an amendment, Claims 1, 6-7, 11-13 and 16-17 were amended, while no claim was added or

cancelled. To be more specific, two parent Claims 1 and 11 were amended to remove the

language "chalk like" and to correct several improper language such as "at least about" and the

like. The examiner thereby withdraws all 112-2nd claim rejections in the previous Final Office

Action dated October 25, 2006. With the above examiner's amendment, both parent Claims 1

and 11 are amended with more clarified wordings with support in specification. Claims 1-20

are pending now with two independent claims (Claim 1 and Claim 11). An action follows.

3. Claim rejections under 35 USC 103 rejections in previous Non-Final Office Action filed

on October 25, 2006 are now removed for the reasons given in paragraphs 4-9 thereinafter.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-20 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1713

- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The above claims 1-20 are allowed over the closest references:
- 6. The limitation of amended parent Claim 1 of present invention relates to <u>a weather</u>

 resistant poly(vinyl chloride) compound comprising two components as:
 - (a) poly(vinyl chloride) and
- (b) at least 2 weight parts of <u>essentially pure calcium carbonate</u> per 100 weight parts of poly(vinyl chloride), the calcium carbonate having a particle size less than 10 microns, wherein the essentially pure calcium carbonate has <u>a purity of at least 95 weight percent</u> and serves <u>as a light stabilizer</u> by scavenging for free hydrochloric acid generated in poly(vinyl chloride) upon exposure to visible and ultraviolet light.

Other parent Claim 11 relates to <u>a process of making</u> poly(vinyl chloride) compound of Claim 1 by mixing the components. See other limitations of Claims 2-10 and 12-20.

7. Applicants have now claimed in <u>twice-amended</u> two parent claims including Claim 1 (composition) and Claim 11 (process of making) an unexpected way of obtaining a weather resistant poly(vinyl chloride) compound comprising two components including: (A) poly(vinyl chloride) and (B) at least 2 weight parts of essentially pure calcium carbonate. The key point is that such essentially pure calcium carbonate has a purity of at least 95 weight percent, a particle size less than 10 µm and serves as a light stabilizer.

Application/Control Number: 10/506,661 Page 5

Art Unit: 1713

8. With respect to 103(a) rejection, primary reference Lehr only discloses stabilization of vinyl chloride polymers can be effectively achieved with using <u>barium</u> carbonate and/or <u>cadmium</u> carbonate. It is well known in the art that <u>calcium carbonate is quite different</u> <u>from barium carbonate and cadmium carbonate in many respects</u>. Lehr is therefore <u>silent about two things</u> as: (A) using calcium carbonate, and (B) such a calcium carbonate is in the <u>essentially pure form</u> which has a purity of at least 95 weight percent and has a specific particle size less than 10 μm.

9. Secondary reference Hung may teach <u>halogenated polymer such as poly(vinyl chloride)</u> can be effectively stabilized by including one or more metal-containing compounds to be useful as <u>heat stabilizers</u> wherein the metal can be <u>calcium</u>, <u>barium or cadmium</u> (column 1, line 35-39; abstract, line 1-5).

Other secondary reference Lamond may teach dry ground calcium carbonate from nature resource (which is thereby non-precipitated) to the claimed size can be used as filler in the amount of 10-15 wt% for polymer such as polyester in the course of molding/compounding. The key point is that Hung never discloses using calcium carbonate as light stabilizer (see page 9 at bottom section of Remarks). Therefore, Hung and Lamond in combination or alone cannot teach all the limitations which are silent by Lehr.

10. Additionally, the present invention has shown in examples along with some comparative examples for making such a composition of polyvinylchloride with essentially pure calcium

Art Unit: 1713

carbonate (see pages 15-23 for examples 1-16 and comparative examples along with its **Tables** 1-11). Therefore, all the above-mentioned references, in combination or alone, does not teach or fairly suggest the limitations of present invention.

11. After further examination and search, the examiner found the following prior art did not teach the claimed limitation:

US 4,977,193 to Croce et al. has disclosed a procedure to achieve stabilization and foaming of polyvinylchloride resins by a mixture of organotin mercapto acid ester and an diorganotin oxide complex with an ester of an oxygen-containing acid as light stabilizer or thermal stabilizer (abstract, line 1-6; column 1, line 16-23). Although calcium carbonate was added (column 6, line 41). However, Croce does not mention the particle size, the use of calcium carbonate in the form of chalk such as from Caribbean or to be used as light stabilizer. Therefore, Croce fails to teach the limitation of present invention.

US 5,948,492 to Cargile has disclosed a procedure to make a blow-molded plastic container comprising a homogeneous mixture of a plastic resin, filler such as <u>calcium carbonate</u> and a blowing agent (abstract, line 1-5). However, the polymer does not include poly(vinyl chloride) or its related copolymer, and Cargile does not mention the use of calcium carbonate in the form of chalk such as from Caribbean or to be used as light stabilizer.

Therefore, Cargile fails to teach the limitation of present invention.

715 Control 1 turnoon: 10/500,0

Art Unit: 1713

- 12. The two key issues on using a specified calcium carbonate to be with polyvinylchloride, the specification is a combination of three things including: (A) essentially pure calcium carbonate has a purity of at least 95 weight percent, (B) a particle size less than 10 µm and (C) serves as a light stabilizer, cannot be overcome by any or the combination of the above references, therefore, the present invention is novel.
- 13. As of the date of this office action, the examiner has not located or identified any reference that can be used singularly or in combination with another reference including the above references to render the present invention anticipated or obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, two independent claims including composition Claim 1 and process Claim 11 are allowed for the reason listed above. Since the prior art of record fails to teach the present invention, the remaining pending dependent Claims 2-10 and 12-20 are passed to issue.
- 14. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103**. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular

communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713, USPTO

March 5, 2007

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700